Worksheet 6. Application Summary

This worksheet will be posted on the	web to notify the public	of requests t	for critical use ex	cemptions beyond the 2005 phase out for methyl bromic	le. Therefore, this worksheet cannot be claimed as CBI.		
1. Name of Applicant:	California Bean Shi	ppers Asso	ciation				
2. Location: California							
3. Crop:	Blackeye and Garbanzo beans						
4. Pounds of Methyl Bromide Requested		2005	26650				
5. Area Treated with Methyl Bromide		2005	9,013,122	cubic feet units			
	igation for this industr	y to remain	in business. T	yes hey will continue to explore the possible y will need to continue to use Methyl Bromide	·		
2006 26650 Ik	os. Area	Treated 9,01	13,122	cubic feet units			
2007 26650 lb	os. Area	Treated 9,01	13,122	cubic feet units			

Place an "X" in the column(s) labeled "Not Technically Feasible" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use the "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.

Potential Alternatives	Not Technically Feasible	Not Economically Feasible	Reasons
Phosphine	Х	×	Does not control all pests controlled by Methyl Bromide. The time it takes to complete furnigation with phosphine is at least 3 times longer than for Methyl Bromide From 12 hours fo Methyl Bromide to 36 hours minimum for phospine. Would not be able to treat all of the seed in time to meet the shipment dates. Customers will not accept commodity without proof of recent. 15 days, furnigation.
·			
,			